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abstract

Background
The study investigated the analgesic effectiveness, the reduction in the intake of painkillers and an improvement in physical activity after local cryotherapy in tennis elbow (TE).

Material/Methods
The research group comprised patients of the Physiotherapy Patients diagnosed with so-called tennis elbow were referred to physical therapy treatments by specialist doctors. 34 patients were examined (26 women, 8 men), aged 36-59 years, who were then divided into two groups: Group X (17 persons) - treated with local cryotherapy (10 treatments over 2 weeks), Group Y (17 persons) - the control group with no therapeutic procedures conducted for a period of 2 weeks. Materials for the quantitative analysis in the study were obtained by means of the Laitinen questionnaire and the VAS scale.

Results
Results of the analysis showed significant differences between the intensity of pain experienced by the subjects, the range of received analgesics, and the difference in physical activity before the therapy and after its completion. Tests of scheduled comparisons showed a substantial decline in values among the subjects for both the combined therapy (p = 0.000) and local cryotherapy (p = 0.000).

Conclusions
The analgesic effect of the combined therapy and local cryotherapy resulted in reducing the intake of analgesics and an improvement in physical activity of patients TE.

Key words
lateral epicondylitis, tennis elbow, pain, cryotherapy
INTRODUCTION

Tennis elbow, also known as lateral epicondylitis (LE), i.e. enthesopathy of the lateral epicondyle of the humerus (enthesopathia epicondyli lateralis humeri), is an inflammation of the insertion of tendons of the extensor muscles of the wrist at the lateral epicondyle of the humerus [1]. It is a consequence of overloading the muscles of wrist and fingers extensors and microdamage to muscle insertions at the epicondyle, which impairs performing daily functions, work or leisure activities [2]. Enthesopathy of the lateral elbow is one of many disorders of the musculoskeletal system, characterised by high resistance to treatment methods. The diversity of therapeutic ideas for chronic lateral elbow tendinopathy proved that continuous exploration of an effective procedure is necessary [3]. Tennis elbow is a common condition occurring in 3% of the total population [4, 5, 6]. The peak of LE incidence occurs between 30 and 60 years of age [7, 8]. The risk of LE incidence and the highest rate of occurrence concerns professions in which repetitive, energetic movements of rotation, lifting and pressing are performed, such as among plumbers, painters, decorators, builders and gardeners. People working full-time at the computer keyboard are exposed in particular [9]. The pain is very strong during rotation, twisting, grasping and lifting movements [10, 11]. Because most cases relate to the dominant hand, it has been reported that LE causes discomfort in daily activity and negatively affects the quality of life [12]. The upper extremity dysfunctions begin to appear during intensive work or injuries, and emerge with age.

Due to the complicated and complex structure, the elbow joint is one of the most susceptible to injuries. So-called “tennis elbow” is a painful condition which has its source in the pathologically affected area of the insertion of tendons of the extensor muscles of the wrist at the lateral epicondyle of the humerus [17]. The cause of degenerative changes usually lies in long-lasting muscle overload with repeated microdamage at their insertion to the bone. Pain appears on a small bony protrusion (epicondyle) on the lateral side of the elbow, where muscles extending fingers and the wrist are attached. The surface of this area is very small in relation to the stresses and tensions it endures during too intense muscle work [14, 15]. Figure 1 shows the place of pain in tennis elbow.

Fig 1. Place of pain in enthesopathy of the lateral compartment of the elbow. Retrieved from http://indiantrailpt.com/symptoms-conditions/tennis-elbow-lateral-epicondylitis
According to the WHO definition, pain it is an unpleasant, sensory and emotional experience accompanying existing or potentially threatening damage to tissues, or only related to such damage. This definition stresses the biological and symptomatological function of pain in the system. It is an important reflexive-defensive factor. It warns a human being against the effects of external stimuli damaging a tissue, and at the same time it is one of the symptoms of a disease. Many a time it saves an organism from damage to a tissue or an organ. But it also happens that pain appears despite the lack of visible signals [18].

The pain is synonymous with both physical and mental suffering, perceived very individually. It is also often accompanied by the sympathetic nervous system symptoms, such as the accelerated heart rate or increased blood pressure. People differ in the so-called threshold pain. It is an effect of brain activity, which makes a pain stimulus easier or more difficult to bear. From the biological point of view, pain is a sensory experience, called nociceptive pain, emerging in the nerve endings, conductive tracks and perception areas in the brain [19, 20, 21]. In 1979 the Taxonomy Commission of International Study of Pain defined pain as an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with the existing or potential tissue damage, or a sensation perceived as in the case of such damage [14]. According to Melzack, pain is a perceptive experience, the quality and the intensity of which is affected by the unique life story. This definition is more focused on the subjective feeling of pain, which is of a multidimensional character (nociception, perception, attitude to pain, suffering, pain expression) [22].

Today pain can be effectively treated and alleviated, and pain medicine is becoming an increasingly growing specialized field of medicine. The mechanisms of emergence and conduction of pain are nowadays even better understood, and with the development of new therapeutic techniques and modern medication, fighting pain is becoming more intentional, effective and safer for a patient.

Cryotherapy is a treatment method known and used for centuries. The history of treating with cold temperatures dates back to the Ancient times. The first mention of the use of low temperatures in medicine comes from Egypt 2500 BCE. Already then cold was viewed as an anaesthetic and anti-inflammatory agent [23].

In the subject literature one can find many definitions of cryotherapy. According to Zagrobelny, cryotherapy is: “one of methods of medicinal application of cold. It is a physical therapy procedure, and so an application of a natural stimulus affecting a point, an area or the entire surfaces and limbs of the system, with an expectation that through the central nervous system the stimulus will trigger a beneficial response or reaction of a medical or regulatory nature. Clinically, cryotherapy is therefore a stimulating use of extremely low temperatures for 2-3 minutes to trigger and make use of physiological systemic reactions to cold and to assist the primary treatment and to facilitate treatment through movement” [24].

In line with the above, Sieroń defines cryotherapy as “a stimulating surface use of cryogenic temperatures (below -100°C) in a short
time of 120-180 s in order to provoke and use physiological responses to
cold and to help the primary treatment and to facilitate healing through
movement [25].

Księżopolska-Pietrzak presents a similar definition of cryotherapy. She
claims that cryotherapy is “an application of the temperature below -100°C
for 2-3 minutes to the outer surface of the body in order to provoke and use
physiological reactions to cold...” [26]. Spodaryk argues that “cryotherapy
refers to the use of a physical stimulus lowering the temperature of tissues
applied for medicinal purposes” [27].

The systemic or local application of cryogenic temperatures causes ther-
moregulation. Its initiation in the human body takes place by means of the
nerve structures recording the temperature in time. Two groups of recep-
tors are distinguished: thermoreceptors and thermoenteroreceptors. The
former are external receptors located in the skin and used to receive ther-
mal stimuli from the environment, while the latter control the temperature
inside the body [24, 28].

The main effect of cold on the body is to reduce the skin temperature by
a few degrees Celsius. As a result, the skin and the subcutaneous tissue
temperature plummets. The temperature of muscles is also reduced, but
at a much slower pace. This results from vasoconstriction which maintains
for about a minute after the procedure. The blood flow and thermal con-
ductivity in the surface tissues are reduced. Metabolism and the activity
of endocrine glands are altered. There occurs so-called muscle tremor and
reduction in metabolism by about 50%, which in turn leads to a reduction
in energy demands in tissues and connected with this decline in oxygen
demand. On the other hand, after the treatment there is vasodilation and
blood supply to the internal organs, which in turn leads to a reduction in
the amount of lactate and histamine and alleviates pain. Lowering the body
temperature leads to a reduction in the flow of nerve impulses. Another
equally important effect of a prolonged influence of cold is an increase in
the secretion of thyroid hormones and adrenal glands and an increased
cell metabolism [29].

Speaking of treatment with cold, one should pay attention to the duration
of a procedure. Thus during slow cooling down of tissues, there is a slowing
down of the metabolic rate in the tissues, while in quick and short-time
cooling down there is a hyperaemic reaction known as cryostimulation.
The therapeutic effect of cryotherapy depends on the cooling rate, i.e., the
time in which low temperature is achieved and on the time of its presence
in the tissue [30].

Depending on the surface area affected by the therapy, cryotherapy is di-
vided into local and whole-body cryotherapy. In local cryotherapy liquid
nitrogen is the most commonly used agent, with the gas temperature at
the nozzle being circa minus 160-190°Celsius. For therapeutic purposes
also a mixture of liquid nitrogen vapours and chilled atmospheric air is
used, where the temperature at the nozzle is adjustable from -100°Celsius
to -180°Celsius. In local cryotherapy depending on the size and location
of the treated area, the duration of one procedure ranges from 30 seconds to 3 minutes. Whole-body cryotherapy is performed in a chamber in which thanks to liquid nitrogen the therapeutic temperature is maintained within the limits of -70°C to -110°Celsius. The duration of a single treatment is 30 seconds to 3 minutes. An important and integral part of the procedure is gymnastics after leaving the chamber [23, 24].

Equipment used in local cryotherapy (Fig. 2) acquires low temperature from liquid nitrogen, chilled air and carbon dioxide. Local cryotherapy relies on cooling down tissues by means of nitrogen vapours. Liquid nitrogen, whose boiling point is -195.8°C, after heating inside the tank changes into the gas form. The appearing difference in pressure between atmospheric pressure and the tank provokes the flow of nitrogen vapours from the tank to the hose finished with a nozzle. The gas temperature at the nozzle is approximately -196°C to -160°C [28].


One of the therapeutic effects of the application of treatment with cold is the pain alleviating effect. In the hitherto studies it was ascertained that cryotherapy causes pain relief, but studies defining the most effective methodology in different injuries and supporting biological regeneration are still needed. Researchers claim that the analgesic effect results from a reduction in the conductivity speed in sensory fibres. This effect lasts only until there is a reduction in the nervous tissue temperature down to 10-15°C. This advantage of cryotherapy enables an earlier start of rehabilita-tion exercises. It facilitates performing these exercises because it inhibits pain and reduces muscle tone, and thus allows for faster healing of inflammations and wounds and has an anti-oedematous effect [13, 30, 31].
Boosting sensory receptors with cold causes painlessness in patients, cessation of the feeling of pain. This effect can maintain for hours, and if treatments are regularly repeated, it can keep up even for extended periods of time. This “stimulation analgesia” relies on blocking the flow of pain impulses in the spinal cord. Then the strongest pain stimulation does not occur, because pain impulses do not reach the cerebral cortex. In addition, the “the endogenous opioid system” is activated, which is responsible for producing β-endorphin, i.e. an endogenous morphine-like substance. Owing to the patient’s not feeling pain, it is possible to increase in the intensity of kinesiotherapy even 3-4 times [32].

Extreme cold also reduces motor conduction. It blocks the motor nerve end-plate and dampens the reflex actions, especially of the spinal cord. Thanks to that muscle spasticity is reduced. Therefore, cryotherapy is recommended in patients with neurological diseases and orthopaedic injuries. It is used in the treatment of traumas, fractures, sprains, and dislocations. In addition, it is used in degenerative and rheumatic diseases (such as rheumatoid arthritis, rheumatic fever and ankylosing spondylitis) [29].

Many researchers stress that cryotherapy has a wide use in first aid and sports medicine rehabilitation. Cooling down should be applied immediately after the injury. This is one of the safest and simultaneously effective methods of reducing bruising, pain and inflammatory reactions, and it limits damage. Indications for the use of local cryotherapy involve its anti-oedematous, anti-inflammatory and tension-reducing influence [30, 31].

It can be concluded that cryotherapy is an invaluable method in the treatment of patients with many injuries and diseases in which the aim is to improve mobility [33], including the treatment of tennis elbow. Cryostimulation procedures shorten rehabilitation and accelerate recovery [24, 28].

THE AIM AND THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE STUDY

The subject of the study was the analgesic efficacy of local cryotherapy in treating lateral elbow enthesopathy, while the aim was to evaluate its impact on improving the subjects’ mobility and reducing the intake of pain-killing medication.

The conducted research falls into the explanatory and interpretation category. The authors attempted to find the relevance of assumptions as to the effectiveness of the combined analgesic therapy and local cryotherapy in the treatment of lateral elbow enthesopathy.

On this basis, the following research hypotheses were formulated:

1. Application of local cryotherapy reduces pain disorders in the studied patients with enthesopathy of the lateral elbow.

2. After applying local cryotherapy, there is an improvement in mobility of the patients with so-called tennis elbow.

3. Application of local cryotherapy contributes to a reduction in the intake of analgesics by the studied patients.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research group was composed of patients of Physiotherapy and Wellness Centre “Gracilis” in Gdynia and the Physical Therapy Centre at Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport. Patients diagnosed with enthesopathy of the lateral elbow (so-called tennis elbow) were referred to physiotherapeutic treatment by medical specialists: orthopaedists, traumatologists, specialists is med-ical rehabilitation. They were chosen deliberately and randomly.

The research was conducted on 34 patients of both sexes (26 women, 8 men), aged 36-59 years, who depending on the type of applied procedure were divided into two groups: Group X (17 persons) – treated with local cryotherapy and Group Y (17 persons) – the control group with no therapeutic procedures conducted for a period of 2 weeks. In each of these groups there were 13 women and 4 men, of whom 10 persons had higher education and 7 persons secondary education. An analysis of both groups subjects’ age showed no significant differences (the mean age for the control group was 46.6 years (SD 5.8 years), and for the local cryotherapy group 45.9 years (SD 5.2), p = 0.5395).

In Group X local cryotherapy was applied to each patient in the number of 10 treatments over a period of 2 weeks from Monday to Friday. The treatments were performed with a local cryotherapy device Kriopol R-26 with liquid nitrogen, directed at the place of pain for 1 to 3 minutes. The procedure was performed on clean, dry skin in a seated position. The nozzle of the cryostimulation device was positioned at a distance of 3 cm, in close cooperation with the patient.

The study used the method of a diagnostic survey and the statistical method. Materials for the quantitative analysis in the study were obtained by means of the Laitinen questionnaire and the Visual-Analogue Scale by Barbara He-adley (VAS) [34].

Measurements of the characteristics of pain were performed using two scales:

1. the modified Laitinen questionnaire of indicators of pain - immediately before and after the therapy the subjective assessment of pain (the intensity and frequency rated on a 5-point scale) was evaluated. The question-naire was completed in accordance with the patient’s subjective feelings.

2. the Visual-Analogue scale (VAS) for graphical and numerical description of the perceived pain. On a line of about 10 cm in length, with marked only the beginning and end, the patient identified a point which corresponded to the intensity of his feeling of pain (a condition with no pain was marked as „0” and with the strongest imaginable pain „10”. The results of the intensity of pain were measured in millimetres: from 0 to 100 mm).

The VAS scale used in the study helps to assess the intensity of pain. However, it should be noted that this isolated feature does not characterize the very complex phenomenon of pain and, above all, does not describe the degree of a patient’s efficiency. Scales of the self-assessment of motor activity, whose results coincide with the so-called pain behaviours, seem much more useful to this effect. Therefore, Laitinen’s questionnaire was additionally used [46].
To verify the significance of differences between groups in which the different types of treatments were applied, the ANOVA variance analysis tool with repeated measures was used. The model assumptions about the homogeneity of variance and covariance were verified by means of the following tests: Cochran, Hartley, Bartlett and Box. The analysis was performed with a use of MS Excel spreadsheet and Statistica software.

## RESULTS

The table below (Tab. 1) shows the mean value and the standard deviation of the tested components of pain sensations in comparison to the situation before the study and after its completion. In the local cryotherapy group a statistically significant decrease in pain values was observed. In the control group statistically significant results were not revealed, despite their small reduction. A slight drop in pain values can be explained by the spontaneous healing process of inflammatory lesions. In the subsequent tables the results of similar variables from the two used questionnaires evaluating pain were compiled.

**Table 1. Mean value and standard deviation of individual components of feeling pain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group control</th>
<th>Group local cryotherapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>before</td>
<td>after</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x ±SD</td>
<td>x ±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>the degree of pain</td>
<td>5.35 ±2.15</td>
<td>5.11 ±2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>pain at night</td>
<td>2.82 ±1.59</td>
<td>2.70 ±1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>impaired motor activity due to pain</td>
<td>3.59 ±1.28</td>
<td>3.29 ±0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>the use of painkillers</td>
<td>2.41 ±1.33</td>
<td>2.10 ±0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>pain interfering with household chores</td>
<td>2.47 ±1.12</td>
<td>2.22 ±1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>pain interfering while driving a car</td>
<td>2.71 ±1.45</td>
<td>2.35 ±1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>change of job duties due to pain</td>
<td>2.00 ±1.22</td>
<td>1.62 ±0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>pain control</td>
<td>2.29 ±1.76</td>
<td>1.82 ±1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>control other aspects of life due to pain</td>
<td>2.47 ±1.62</td>
<td>2.12 ±1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>intensity of pain</td>
<td>2.35 ±0.7</td>
<td>2.20 ±0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>frequency of pain</td>
<td>1.88 ±0.78</td>
<td>1.74 ±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>use of medicinal painkillers</td>
<td>1.29 ±1.05</td>
<td>1.03 ±0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>limitation of physical activity</td>
<td>1.71 ±0.92</td>
<td>1.65 ±0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Variable: Intensity of pain (VAS scale)**

ANOVA analysis of variance with repeated measures for the variable “Intensity of pain (VAS scale)” revealed a statistically significant effect of participation in local cryotherapy treatments. The mean values of the intensity of pain relative to the state before and after the study are presented in Tab. 2.
The results of the analysis showed significant differences between the intensity of pain (VAS scale) felt by the subjects prior to the therapy and its intensity after its completion. Tests of scheduled comparisons showed a marked decline in values of the felt intensity of pain after local cryotherapy (p=0.000).

The degree of change in the intensity of pain (VAS scale) among the subjects after finishing treatments is presented in Tab. 3.

### Table 3. The degree of change in pain intensity (VAS scale).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The degree of change in pain intensity</th>
<th>Group local cryotherapy</th>
<th>%N</th>
<th>Group control</th>
<th>%N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate decline (a decrease of 2-3 points)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A big drop (a decrease of 4-5 points)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total in the group (N)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VARIABLE: INTENSITY OF PAIN (LAITINEN SCALE)**

The mean values of the intensity of the pain – Laitinen scale (relative to the state before and after the study are presented in Tab. 4.

### Table 4. Mean value the intensity of pain - Laitinen scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean value</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>intensity of pain - before</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>intensity of pain - after</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>intensity of pain - before treatment</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>intensity of pain - after treatment</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the analysis showed significant differences between the scope of the intensity of pain prior to the therapy and after its completion. Tests of scheduled comparisons showed a significant decrease in the intensity of pain after local cryotherapy (p = 0.000).

**VARIABLE: INTAKE OF ANALGESICS (VAS)**

ANOVA analysis of variance with repeated measures for the variable “Intake of analgesics (VAS)” revealed a statistically significant effect of participation in the therapy (a comparison of the situation before and after the therapy. The mean values of the intake of analgesics (VAS) relative to the state before and after the study are presented in Tab. 5.
Table 5. Mean value of the intake of analgesics (VAS scale)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean value</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>intensity of pain - before</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>intensity of pain - after</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>intensity of pain - before</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>intensity of pain - after</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis results showed significant differences between the scope of using painkillers before the beginning of the therapy and after its completion. Tests of scheduled comparisons showed a significant decrease in the range of using painkillers after local cryotherapy (p = 0.000).

**VARIABLE: INTAKE OF ANALGESICS (LAITINEN SCALE)**

ANOVA analysis of variance with repeated measures for the variable “Intake of analgesics” revealed a statistically significant effect of participation in local cryotherapy treatments. Mean values of the intake of analgesics relative to the state before and after the study are presented in Tab. 6.

Table 6. Mean value of the intake of analgesics (Laitinen scale)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean value</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>use of medicinal painkillers - before</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>use of medicines painkillers - after</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>use of medicines painkillers - before treatment</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>use of medicines painkillers - after treatment</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis results showed significant differences between the range of using analgesics before the beginning of the therapy and after its completion. Tests of scheduled comparisons showed a significant decrease in the range of using painkillers after local cryotherapy (p = 0.000).

**VARIABLE: IMPAIRED PHYSICAL ACTIVITY DUE TO PAIN (VAS SCALE)**

ANOVA analysis of variance with repeated measures for the variable “Impaired physical activity due to pain (VAS scale)” revealed a statistically significant effect of participation in local cryotherapy treatments. Mean values of impaired physical activity due to pain relative to the state before and after the study are presented in Tab. 7.

Table 7. Mean value of impaired motor activity due to pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean value</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>impaired motor activity due to pain - before</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>impaired motor activity due to pain - after</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>impaired motor activity due to pain - before treatment</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>impaired motor activity due to pain - after treatment</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The analysis results showed significant differences between the perceived impaired physical activity due to pain before the study and the degree of impairment experienced after its completion.

**VARIABLE: LIMITATION OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY (LAITINEN SCALE)**

ANOVA analysis of variance with repeated measures for the variable “Limitation of physical activity (Laitinen scale)” revealed a statistically significant effect of participation in local cryotherapy treatments. Mean values of limitation of physical activity (Laitinen scale) relative to the state before and after the study are presented in Tab. 8.

**Table 8. Mean value of impaired motor activity due to pain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean value</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>limitation of physical activity - before</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>group control</td>
<td>limitation of physical activity - after</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>limitation of physical activity - before treatment</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>group local cryotherapy</td>
<td>limitation of physical activity - after treatment</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis results showed significant differences between the scope of the limitation of physical activity before the therapy and after its completion. Tests of scheduled comparisons revealed a significant decrease in limitations of physical activity after local cryotherapy (p = 0.0015).

**DISCUSSION**

Enthesopathy of the lateral elbow is one of frequent pain syndromes in the upper extremity. It is the pain in the area of the lateral elbow joint that makes patients see medical specialists. It limits physical activity in the execution of manual activities of both everyday life and professional and recreational activities as well as induces taking painkillers. Damage to the locomotor system does not heal without trace and manifests itself in the form of dysfunctions or discomforts worsening with time. The treatment which is usually taken too late does not ensure healing of the injury. However, if treated in time and in a thoughtful way, it is usually successful [35, 36].

The Authors’ own research gave an opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness of a combined analgesic therapy and local cryotherapy, their impact on reducing the intake of analgesics and the assessment of physical activity in a group of 34 patients with the tennis elbow syndrome.

The conducted research shows that treatment with cold (cryotherapy) is applicable in treating pain disorders of the lateral elbow. ANOVA analysis of variance with repeated measures for the variable “intensity of pain” (the VAS scale and the Laitinen scale) revealed a statistically significant effect of participation in treatments (a comparison of the situation before the therapy and after its completion). After the application of a series of treatments of local cryotherapy in the group of subjects, there was a moderate decrease in pain among 64.7% of the subjects according to the VAS and among 76.5% of the subjects according to the Laitinen scale. A significant decrease in pain was analogously felt by 23.5% of patients according to both scales. Metzger and
Zwingmann obtained similar results. They found maintenance of the analgesic effect shortly after completing the treatments and claimed that the effects of cold were then the most strongly expressed [37]. Skrzek and Zagrobelny in their research on the influence of cryotherapy on the locomotor activity also observed a very pronounced decrease in pain ailments and an improvement in joint mobility [24].

Cryotherapy affects the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal system, which is expressed in a significant increase in corticosteroids. Indirectly this proves an increased level of steroids in blood, which enables reducing the intake of pain killers or giving them up completely [24, 38]. There are few papers regarding the research on taking analgesic medication after physical therapy treatments. The results of a study conducted by Wójcik and Tomczak on the application of local cryotherapy to decrease pain and reduce the use of analgesics indicate that cold has an influence on reducing the use of pharmacotherapy. Before the treatment 50% of the subjects had used medication, and after a series of local cryotherapy treatments, the number decreased by half [39]. Similar conclusions can be drawn on the basis of results of the present author’s own research on patients with so-called tennis elbow. An analysis of study results both according to the VAS scale and the Laitinen scale showed significant differences between the intake of painkillers before the therapy and after its completion. Tests of scheduled comparisons revealed a significant decrease in values for local cryotherapy (p = 0.000). The subjects limited or came off analgesics.

In addition to pharmacological therapy, doctors and patients are looking for other methods to overcome pain which may cause physical activity restrictions. Księży Polska-Pietrzak and Samborski believe that the use of cryotherapy improves the patients’ health status by reducing pain in the affected joints, which has a positive impact on improving motor activity [24, 40]. The results obtained in this study confirm the importance of local cryotherapy in the improvement of physical activity of patients with so-called tennis elbow. Interpretation of results according to the VAS scale and the Laitinen questionnaire suggests beneficial effects of local cryotherapy increasing motor activity. After a series of treatments an improvement in motor activity was reported by 70.6% (VAS scale) and 76.4% (Laitinen scale) of the studied patients.

A combined therapy is an alternative to local cryotherapy treatment of the lateral elbow disorders. Medical devices to perform treatments of the combined therapy in one piece of equipment have been available on the market for several years. A combination of two forms of energy, i.e. modulated current of medium frequency and ultrasounds, created a stimulus with a strong analgesic effect [41]. The use of combined therapy treatments in own studies as a method reducing pain ailments in the lateral elbow syndrome yielded effective results (as confirmed by the examined patients in subjective and objective assessments). The analysis results showed significant differences between the scope of the intensity of pain according to the VAS scale and the Laitinen scale before and after the therapy. As a result of the application of the combined therapy in the group of subjects, there was a moderate decrease in pain – according to VAS among 76.5% of the subjects and according to the Laitinen scale among 82.4% of the subjects. A significant decrease in pain was analogously felt by 23.5% of the subjects on the VAS scale and by 17.6% on the Laitinen scale.
Similar studies were conducted by Korabiewska, Sipko, Lewandowska, and Białoszewski [16]. Before treatments subjects with so-called tennis elbow had identified their pain as strong in 55% and as moderate in 45% of the cases, while after a combined therapy – as moderate in 20% and as mild in 20%. 20% of all subjects felt no pain at all. The same group of researchers evaluated the role of the combined therapy in reducing the intake of analgesics. The interpretation of the results obtained on the patients examined in this study is similar to the results obtained by the above mentioned researchers. Analysing the VAS scale and on the basis of the Laitinen questionnaire, one can assume beneficial analgesic effects of the combined therapy. After application of treatments, a reduction in the use of painkillers and an increase in physical activity was found. After a series of treatments more than half of the patients, i.e. 52.9% (the VAS scale) and 53% (the Laitinen scale) limited their intake of painkillers; the improvement in motor activity was analogously reported by 70.6% of the subjects (the VAS scale) and 76.4% (the Laitinen scale).

Research on the comparison of the effectiveness of physical therapy procedures in treating pain disorders were conducted by Krawczyk-Wasielewska, Kuncewicz, Sobieska, and Samborski [41]. They demonstrated that cryotherapy, laser and ultrasounds are among the most effective procedures. Patients’ subjective assessment was comparable as to the analgesic effect, reduction in the use of pain-killers and the improvement in motor activity. Own research demonstrated a lack of a significant interaction effect in all the examined variables in application of local cryotherapy and the combined therapy. This does not entitle a statement that one of the applied types of procedures proved to be more effective in this regard than the other in treating the lateral elbow.

Evaluation of results of the treatment confirms the legitimacy of using the combined therapy and local cryotherapy in the treatment of enthesopathy of the lateral elbow. However, further studies and observations of the effectiveness of the therapy on a larger population of subjects are necessary in order to obtain information on the period of the achieved improvement and its effects on the body.

CONCLUSIONS

Local cryotherapy influences reducing the pain intensity in the examined patients. Local cryotherapy treatments affected the intake of analgesics among the studied patients and improved their motor activity.
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